

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

INJAH TAFARI,

Plaintiff,

**9:11-cv-1422
(GLS/ATB)**

v.

DONALD UHLER et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

On February 6, 2013, plaintiff *pro se* InJah Tafari was ordered to show cause as to how the conduct described in the court's Summary Order did not violate Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11(b), and why this case should not be dismissed for that violation and perjury during the evidentiary hearing. (See Dkt. No. 33.) Although Tafari filed a response, he failed to provide sufficient justification for his previous conduct. (See Dkt. Nos. 37, 39.)¹ It follows that this case is dismissed as a sanction for violating Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11(b) and, pursuant to the court's inherent

¹ The court notes that Tafari's request, that defendants be ordered to produce certain grievances identified by him in Exhibit E to his response, is denied as unnecessary. (See Dkt. No. 39.) In addition, Tafari's remaining pending motions are denied for reasons stated in the court's November 21, 2012 order and/or because they are moot. (See Dkt. Nos. 24, 27, 28.)

authority to sanction a party that appears before it, for perjury during the evidentiary hearing.

ACCORDINGLY, it is hereby

ORDERED that this case is **DISMISSED** as a sanction for violating Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11(b) and, pursuant to the court's inherent authority to sanction a party that appears before it, for perjury during the evidentiary hearing; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to close this case and enter judgment for defendants; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk provide a copy of this Order to the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

March 15, 2013
Albany, New York


Gary L. Sharpe
Chief Judge
U.S. District Court